arXiv:hep-ph/9411271v1 11 Nov 1994

UAB-FT-352/94

Standard Model predictions for weak decays of η mesons

A. Bramon [1]
Grup de Física Teòrica, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona,
08193 Bellaterra (Barcelona), Spain

and

E. Shabalin
ITEP, Moscow.

Abstract

The branching ratios of weak decays of η mesons are estimated in the framework of the Standard Model. To observe such decays, η meson sources with $N_\eta > 10^{13}$ per year are needed.

[1] 16414::BRAMON

The comparatively big number of η mesons at the acting η factory (SATURN, $N_\eta \sim 10^{12}$ per year) and at future accelerators (CELSIUS, $N_\eta \sim 3 \cdot 10^9$ and DAPhNE, $N_\eta \sim 3 \cdot 10^7$ per year) [2] makes pertinent the question on the possibility to observe weak decays of η mesons.

These decays possess some specific properties which would be good to verify. Namely, because of G-parity conservation [2], [3] the decays

$$\eta \to \pi^\pm l^\mp \nu \tag{1}$$

$$\eta \to \pi^0 \pi^\pm l^\mp \nu \tag{2}$$

are suppressed in comparison with the analogous decays of K_L^0 mesons possessing the same CP-properties as η.

The decays

$$\eta \to K^\pm e^\mp \nu \tag{3}$$

are G-parity allowed, but they are suppressed compared to $K_L^0 \to \pi^\pm e^\mp \nu$ by the momentum-space factor $\sim 10^{-3}$.

Of the nonleptonic weak decays, the decay

$$\eta \to \pi\pi \tag{4}$$

is the most interesting one as it needs CP violation and it can not be masked by strong interaction contributions as in the case of $\eta \to 3\pi$ decays.

Let's consider the above mentioned processes in detail.

1 $\eta \to \pi^\pm l^\mp \nu$

The hadronic part of the matrix element is

$$< \pi^\pm | J_\mu^1 + i J_\mu^2 | \eta >= f_+^{(\eta)}(q^2)(p_\eta + p_\pi)_\mu + f_-^{(\eta)}(q^2)(p_\eta - p_\pi)_\mu \tag{5}$$

In the Standard Model, where the second-class currents [2] are absent, the form factors $f_\pm$ can be different from zero only due to isospin breakdown occurring through the virtual electromagnetic interactions or due to the $m_d - m_u$ mass difference. As the last mechanism gives the largest effect, one can estimate the probability of this decay using a Chiral Theory of low-energy mesonic processes. The effective Lagrangian approach, based on the idea that momentum dependence of the form factors is determined mainly by spin 0 and spin 1 intermediate resonances [4], gives the result [3]

[2] For references see Table 5 in paper [1]

[3] The form factor f_+^η is originated by successive transitions $\eta \to \pi^0 \to \pi^\pm l^\pm \nu$ with

$$L(\eta \to \pi^0) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}(m_\eta^2 - m_\pi^2)\frac{m_d - m_u}{m_s - \frac{1}{2}(m_d + m_u)} \cdot (\cos\theta_P - \sqrt{2}\sin\theta_P)$$

For $f_-^{(\eta)}$, the dependence on SU(3) breaking parameter is really absent because the quantity $(m_\eta^2 - m_\pi^2)$ itself is proportional to $m_s - \frac{1}{2}(m_d + m_u)$.

$$f_+^{(\eta)}(q^2) = -\sqrt{\frac{3}{8}}\frac{m_d - m_u}{m_s - \frac{1}{2}(m_d + m_u)}[1 + q^2/(M_\rho^2 - q^2)] \cdot \cdot(\cos\theta_P - \sqrt{2}\sin\theta_P) \tag{6}$$

$$f_-^{(\eta)}(q^2) = \sqrt{\frac{3}{8}}\frac{(m_d - m_u)(m_\eta^2 - m_\pi^2)}{m_s - \frac{1}{2}(m_d + m_u)}[(M_\rho^2 - q^2)^{-1} - (M_{a_0(980)}^2 - q^2)^{-1}] \cdot \cdot(\cos\theta_P - \sqrt{2}\sin\theta_P) \tag{7}$$

where θ_P is the mixing angle in the pseudoscalar nonet. Its prefered value [5] seems to be $\theta_P \simeq -19.5^\circ$ from which one gets $\cos\theta_P - \sqrt{2}\sin\theta_P \simeq \sqrt{2}$; other values (such as $\theta_P \simeq -10^\circ$) have also been proposed, but our results are essentially independent of these details.

Therefore, the form factors of the decay (1) are suppressed by the factor

$$\beta = \sqrt{\frac{3}{8}}\frac{m_d - m_u}{m_s - \frac{1}{2}(m_d + m_u)} \cdot ctg\theta_C \cdot (\cos\theta_P - \sqrt{2}\sin\theta_P) \tag{8}$$

in comparison with the form factors of $K_L^0 \to \pi^\pm l^\mp \nu$ decay.

Using the most conservative estimate [6] for the quantity (8), we come to the result

$$\beta \lesssim 0.1 \tag{9}$$

Then

$$B.r.(\eta \to \pi^\pm l^\mp \nu) \cong 2\beta^2(\frac{m_\eta}{m_K})^5\frac{\Gamma(K_L^0 \to \pi^\pm l^\mp \nu)}{\Gamma_{tot}(\eta)}(\cos\theta_P - \sqrt{2}\sin\theta_P)^2 \lesssim 2 \cdot 10^{-13} \cdot (\cos\theta_P - \sqrt{2}\sin\theta_P)^2 \tag{10}$$

Therefore, an observation of the decay (1) with a rate considerably larger than 10^{-13} would be an evidence on the existence of some new physics beyond the SM. The estimates of possible contributions of the second-class currents to this process are contained in refs. [7] and [8], but the results are expressed in terms of unknown coupling constants of the second-class current interaction.

2 $\eta \to \pi^0\pi^\pm l^\mp \nu$

The hadronic part of the matrix element is

$$< \pi^0\pi^+|A_\mu|\eta >= f_1(q^2)(p_\pi + p_{\pi'})_\mu + f_2(q^2)(p_\pi - p_{\pi'})_\mu + f_3(q^2)(p_\eta - p_\pi - p_{\pi'})_\mu \tag{11}$$

$$< \pi^0\pi^+|V_\mu|\eta >= f_4\frac{i\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}}{M_K^2}(p_\pi + p_{\pi'})_\alpha(p_\pi - p_{\pi'})_\beta(p_\eta)_\nu \tag{12}$$

Again, as in the case of the decay (1), the form factors $f_{1,2,3}$ are suppressed by G-parity conservation and they are smaller than the corresponding form factors for $K_L^0 \to \pi^0\pi^\pm l^\mp\nu$ by a factor β. This is not the case for the form factor f_4 which is G-parity allowed. But the contribution of this form factor to the $K_L^0 \to \pi^0\pi^\pm e^\mp\nu$ decay rate is approximately 0.5%.

Then the estimate for B.r. of η_{e_4} decay is

$$\begin{aligned} &B.r.(\eta \to \pi^0\pi^\pm l^\mp\nu) \;\cong \\ &\cong 2(\beta^2 \;\text{ or }\; \frac{2}{3}ctg^2\theta_c\cdot 0.005)(\cos\theta_P - \sqrt{2}\sin\theta_P)^2(\frac{m_\eta}{m_K})^7\frac{\Gamma(K_L^0 \to \pi^0\pi^\pm l^\mp\nu)}{\Gamma_{tot}(\eta)} \lesssim \\ &\lesssim \;\; 1.7\cdot 10^{-16}\cdot(\cos\theta_P - \sqrt{2}\sin\theta_P)^2 \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

3 $\eta \to K^\pm e^\mp\nu$

The hadronic part of the matrix element is of the form of (5). But

$$f_+(\eta \to K^- e^+\nu) = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}f_+(K^0 \to \pi^- e^+\nu) \tag{14}$$

and

$$f_-(\eta \to K^- e^+\nu) = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}(\frac{m_\eta^2 - m_K^2}{m_K^2 - m_\pi^2})\cdot f_-(K^0 \to \pi^- e^+\nu) \tag{15}$$

With these values of the form factors

$$\frac{\Gamma(\eta \to K^\pm e^\mp\nu)}{\Gamma(K_L^0 \to \pi^\pm e^\mp\nu)} \approx 0.867\cdot 10^{-3} \tag{16}$$

or

$$B.r.(\eta \to K^\pm e^\mp\nu) = 4\cdot 10^{-15} \tag{17}$$

with negligible $\eta - \eta'$ mixing effects.

4 $\eta \to \pi\pi$

Like $K_L^0 \to 2\pi$ decay, this decay violates CP invariance [9], but the strangeness does not change in $\eta \to 2\pi$ transitions.

For this reason, in the Standard Model, the amplitude of the $\eta \to 2\pi$ decay must be suppressed at least by the factor $G_F\Lambda^2 sin\theta_C$ (with $\Lambda \lesssim 1$ GeV) in comparison with the $K_L^0 \to 2\pi$ amplitude. This estimate follows from the fact that CP violation in SM

occurs due to imaginary parts in the Yukawa couplings and to have the observable effect of CP violation one needs to include flavour-changing transitions like $\eta \to K^0\bar{K}^0$ containing non-self-conjugated product of the Yukawa couplings. Considering then the decay of the $\{K^0, \bar{K}^0\}$ system into 2π states we come to the above estimate.

5 Conclusions

The estimates obtained in the framework of the Standard Model

$$B.r.(\eta \to \pi^{\pm} l^{\mp} \nu) \lesssim 2 \cdot 10^{-13} \cdot (\cos\theta_P - \sqrt{2}\sin\theta_P)^2$$

$$B.r.(\eta \to \pi^0 \pi^{\pm} l^{\mp} \nu) \approx 1.7 \cdot 10^{-16} \cdot (\cos\theta_P - \sqrt{2}\sin\theta_P)^2$$

$$B.r.(\eta \to K^{\pm} l^{\mp} \nu) \approx 4 \cdot 10^{-15}$$

$$B.r.(\eta \to 2\pi) \lesssim 4[G_F \Lambda^2 sin\theta_C]^2 \cdot 10^{-14}$$

show that the observation of these decays at η factories with $N_\eta < 10^{13}$ per year would be the evidence of some new physics beyond the Standard Model.

6 Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Prof. L.Okun for his indication that the first attempts to estimate the weak widths of η meson were undertaken in ref. [3]. He also attracted our attention to the recent paper [10], where the same order estimate as ours was obtained for η_{l_3} decay.

One of us (E.Sh.) takes great pleasure in acknowledging the hospitality of the IFAE Theoretical Group at the Autonoma University of Barcelona, where this work was fulfilled.

References

[1] V.S.Demidov, E.P.Shabalin. The DAΦNE Physics Handbook. Ed. by L.Maiani, G.Pancheri, and N.Paver. INFN-LNF 1992, p. 45.

[2] S.Weinberg. Phys. Rev. 112 (1958) 1375.

[3] L.B.Okun, I.S.Tsukerman. JETP 47 (1964) 349.

[4] E.P.Shabalin. Yad. Fiz. 51 (1990) 464 [Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 51 (1990) 296].

[5] F.Gilman and R.Kauffmann, Phys. Rev. D36 (1987) 2761; A.Bramon, Phys. Lett. B51 (1974) 87.

[6] N.H.Fuchs, H.Sazdjian, and J.Stern. Phys. Lett. B238 (1990) 381.

[7] P.Singer. Phys. Rev. 139B (1965) 483.

[8] W.Lucha et al. Phys. Rev. D46 (1992) 2255.

[9] I.Yu.Kobzarev, L.B.Okun. JETP 46 (1964) 1418.

[10] H.Neufeld, H.Rupertsberger. Preprint UWThPb - 1994 - 15, July 1, 1994.